

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/843,687   | 04/30/2001  | Sadami Takeoka       | 43889-937 3437          |                  |  |
| 7590 06/10/2005<br>McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY         |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | DO, THUAN V             |                  |  |
| 600 13th Street, N.W.<br>Washington, DC 20005-3096 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| <i>,</i>   |             |                      | 2825                    | 2825             |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application  | on No.   | Applicant(s)  |            |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | 09/843,68  | 37   | TAKEOKA ET AL.  |            |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examine  | ,  | Art Unit  |            |  |  |  |
|   |   | Thuan Do   |  | 2825  |            |  |  |  |
| 7<br>Period for F   | the MAILING DATE of this communic<br>Reply  | ation appears on the   | o cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence addres  | is         |  |  |  |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply   | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC as of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) iod for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION.  737 CFR 1.136(a). In no even incation.  days, a reply within the stat utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>lication to become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu | nication.  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Re  | esponsive to communication(s) filed   | on <u>08 April 2005</u> .  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 2a)□ Th   | is action is FINAL. 2t  | o) This action is n  | on-final.  |   |            |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Disposition   | of Claims   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 4a)<br>5)□ Cl:<br>6)⊠ Cl:<br>7)□ Cl:  | 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,5,8 and 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,5,8 and 12 is/are rejected.   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Application   | Papers  |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 9)□ The   | e specification is objected to by the   | Examiner.  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The  | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| -   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
|   | placement drawing sheet(s) including t<br>e oath or declaration is objected to  | •  | • • • •  |   | ` '        |  |  |  |
| Priority und  | ler 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 6282506.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
|   | References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | 4) Interview Summary   |   |            |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Informati  | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pos)/Mail Date 4/30/2001   |  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:   | ite<br>atent Application (PTO-152                                     | <b>(</b> ) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/843,687 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to the restriction election entered on 04/08/2005. Claims 2,5,8,12 are pending in this office action. Claims 1,3,4,6,7,9-11,13-20 are canceled.

#### TITLE

The title of application does not reflect the claims. A descriptive title would be supplied in the next response.

### **DOUBLE PATENTING**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2,5,8,12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6282506. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim elements of application are met by others of parented patent such as:

Art Unit: 2825

Claim 2 of application is met by claim 1 of Pat. 6282506.

Claim 5 of application is met by claim 3 of Pat. 6282506.

Claim 8 of application is met by claim 1 of Pat. 6282506.

Claim 12 of application is met by claim 2 or 4 or 7 of Pat. 6282506.

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan Do whose telephone number is 571-272-1891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0596.

Thuan Do

Primary examiner

Olivando

06/04/2005